

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.51256 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -256 Year- 2014 Thana -MAKHDUMPUR District- JEHANABAD

Satyanarain Mistry, Son of Nanhak Mistry, resident of Village Najru Bigha,
P.S.- Makhdumpur, District- Jehanabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Lovekush Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Shain Begum(APP)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MIHIR KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 16-07-2015


Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Having regard to the nature of allegation against the petitioner for offence under Section 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code, this Court, taking into account that the petitioner has also been named by the co-accused Uday Yadav in his confessional statement which has also been further corroborated in the statement of an independent witness namely Raju Bhagat at para-20 of the case diary and that the co-accused has been granted only regular bail, this Court would not find the petitioner entitled for privilege for anticipatory bail.

That being so, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

Nothing said in this order, however, will come in the way of the petitioner in surrendering and making prayer for

regular bail and if he does so, the prayer for regular bail shall be considered on its merit without being influenced by this order.



(Mihir Kumar Jha, J)