

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.27660 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -101 Year- 2015 Thana -SURSAND District-
SITAMARHI

=====

Ravindra Das son of Late Ramchandra Das resident of village Pathanpura,
Police Station Sursand, District Sitamarhi.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shyameshwar Dayal, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kr.Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMARESH KUMAR LAL
ORAL ORDER

2 03-08-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 363/366(A)/34 of IPC.

It is submitted that according to FIR lodged by the
father of the victim, petitioner, Md. Rahmani, Safidhur Rahman
and Md. Safibulhaque are the accused. Victim has been examined
under Section 164 Cr.P.C. in which she has alleged that while she
had gone to ease herself near the *Basbari*, Md Wali Rahmani and
Md. Manzoor Ara tied her mouth and took her to the road where a
motorcycle was standing and Md. Javed was also there. All the
three tried to board her on the motorcycle but she raised alarm and
in the meantime, some one flashed the torch thereafter all the
accused fled away and she also returned to her house.

It is also submitted that the victim has not named the

petitioner in her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. It is further submitted that the petitioner has a criminal antecedent lodged by his wife under Section 498 A IPC.

Learned counsel for the State could not controvert the contention of the petitioner while opposing his prayer for bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances, the above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S. D. J. M. (P), Sitamarhi/court concerned in Sursend P. S. Case No. 101 of 2015 with following conditions:-

1. The petitioner will not indulge himself in similar or any other offence.
2. One of the bailors must be the close relative of the petitioner.
3. The petitioner will be well represented in the Court on each and every date and in case of absence for two consecutive dates or in case of violation of terms of bail, his bail bond would be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Amaresh Kumar Lal, J)

Kanchan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--