

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3238 of 2012

=====

1. Mangal Singh S/O Late Sukhdeo Singh R/O Village - Sohara, P.O. Kanchanpur, P.S. Naubatpur, Via - Bihta, District - Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Mr. Navin Kumar Father'S Name Not Known To The Petitioner The Chief Secretary Home (Police) Department Old Secretariat, Patna
2. Sri Amir Subhani Name Of Father'S Not Known To The Petitioner Principal Secretary, Home (Police) Department Old Secretariat, Patna
3. Sri Deepak Kumar Father'S Name Not Known To The Petitioner Deputy Secretary Home (Police) Department Old Secretariat, Patna
4. Sri Abhyanand Father'S Name Not Known To The Petitioner Director General Cum Inspector General Of Police Govt. Of Bihar Home (Police) Department Old Secretariat, Patna
5. Sri Sunil Kumar Father'S Name Not Known To The Petitioner Deputy Inspector, General Of Police, Central Range, Patna Office Near, S.K. Memorial Hall, Patna
6. Sri Nihant Tiwary Father'S Name Not Known To The Petitioner Superintendent Of Police, Nalanda Bihardarif
7. Sri A.K. Shatyarthi Father'S Name Not Known To The Petitioner Sub - Divisional Police Officer, Nalanda, Biharsarif

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chandra Nawal Kishor

For the Respondent/s : Mr. A.Ujjwal Sc25

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

24 04-12-2015

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

In the present case substantial amount has been paid by the State to the petitioner still petitioner has grievances that though the State has already remitted the money along with a letter for payment of Rs.69,212/- to the Bank for crediting the same in the account of the petitioner but the same has not

been done.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Bank has not acted in terms of the letter issued by the State Government.

The petitioner is directed to approach the Chief General Manager, State Bank of Indian, Main Branch, Patna and the aforesaid authority will look in the matter and ensure crediting the aforesaid amount in his account.

In failure to comply the order of this Court, the Court may take a very serious view of the matter.

Petitioner has further submitted that the State has not paid the entire amount to the petitioner which he is really entitled to specifically with regard to payment of gratuity as only 90% of gratuity amount has been paid and wrongly deducted Rs. 22,000/- from his pension. Even pension of the petitioner has been reduced. Petitioner further submits that he has not been paid interest on the amount of remaining GPF amount.

Petitioner is directed file a detailed representation before the competent authority who will examine the case of the petitioner and pass a reasoned order. If such a representation is filed by the petitioner, the competent

authority will dispose of the same within a period of six months from the date of filing of the representation.

Accordingly this application is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Vinay/-

U			
---	--	--	--