

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.383 of 2015

- =====
1. Sidheshwar Prasad, Son of Late Bhogal Mahto, resident of Mohalla - Panchaitiya Akhara, Police Station - Kotwali, District - Gaya.
 2. Prem Prakash Verma, Son of Ram Sahay Prasad, resident of Mohalla - Koeribadi, Police Station - Civil Lines, District - Gaya.
 3. Chhote Hasan, Son of Late Barkat Ali, resident of Mohalla - Kathotar Talab, Badi Road, Police Station - Kotwali, District - Gaya.
 4. Md. Neyazuddin, Son of Late Haji Md. Habib, resident of Mohalla - Chhota Masjid, Badi Road, Police Station - Kotwali, District - Gaya.
 5. Md. Kausar, Son of Late Abdul Razzak Mian, resident of Mohalla - New Colony, Durgabadi, New Masjid, P.S.- Civil Line, District - Gaya.
 6. Md. Mahtab Alam, Son of Late Maqbool Ahmad, Resident of Mohalla - New Colony, Durgabadi, P.S.- Civil Line, District - Gaya.
 7. Amrendra Kumar, Son of Late Nathun Prasad, Resident of Mohalla - Abgilla, P.S. - Mofassil and District - Gaya.
 8. Md. Hasim, Son of Late Fakruddin, resident of Mohalla - Kasab Tola, Police Station - Kotwali and District - Gaya.
 9. Ashrar Ahmad, Son of Late Abrar, Ahmad, Resident of Mohalla - Dhamin Tola, Bank Road, Police Station - Kotwali, District - Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
3. The Gaya Municipal Corporation through its Commissioner.
4. The City Commissioner, Gaya Municipal Corporation Gaya.
5. The District Magistrate, Gaya.
6. The Sub Divisional Officer, Gaya Sadar, Gaya.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajendra Narain, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Sinha
For the Respondent/s : AC to GA-6
For the Corporation : Mr. Vindhyachal Rai

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

6 18-05-2015

Heard Mr. Rajendra Narain, learned senior counsel

appearing for the petitioners, learned counsel for the State as well as Mr. Vindhyachal Rai, learned counsel representing the Gaya Municipal Corporation.

Although Mr. Narain, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners has tried to espouse the case of the petitioners with reference to the rent enhancement present at Annexure-6 but the prayer made in the writ petition does not even make out any grievance against enhancement of rent rather all that the petitioners complain is harassment by the Municipal Commissioner by issuing a notice placed at Annexure-7 and according to Mr. Narain, the petitioners have also filed their reply.

Whereas the notice issued to the petitioners requiring them to be present with document cannot be a cause of grievance in so far as the issue of enhancement is concerned in absence of any prayer no direction can be issued.

The writ petition is disposed of.

The interim order passed on 16.2.2015 stands vacated.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

SKPathak/-

U			
---	--	--	--