

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.51370 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -3 Year- 2012 Thana -MAHILA PS District- JEHANABAD

Sanjeet Kumar, S/o Shri Shyam Bihari Singh, resident of village-Aripur,
P.S. Makhdumpur, District-Jehanabad

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prashant Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. M. Haque (APP)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

ORAL ORDER

3 07-01-2015 Heard Mr. Prashant Kumar learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

The petitioner is in custody in connection with
Jehanabad P.S. Case No. 03 of 2012 registered for offence
punishable under Sections 304B, 201 and 34 of the Indian Penal
Code and Sections 3 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

The allegation against the petitioner who happens to be
the husband of the deceased is of murdering his wife and
disposing of the dead body.

It is considering the nature of allegations that the prayer
of this petitioner was rejected on 30.09.2013 in Criminal Misc.
No. 24303 of 2013 and has been renewed thereafter.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that
it is a case of circumstantial evidence and the petitioner having no

criminal antecedent is in custody since 31.10.2012. It is further submitted that though the case has been committed to sessions giving rise to Sessions Trial No. 45 of 2014 and is pending before learned Ad-hoc Additional District Judge-I, Jehanabad but except for framing of charge, no witnesses have been examined.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking note of the circumstances existing, let the petitioner Sanjeet Kumar be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jehanabad in connection with Jehanabad Mahila P.S. No. 03 of 2012 subject to the condition that he shall register his appearance on each and every date fixed in the trial and his failure to do so on two consecutive date without reasonable explanation to the satisfaction of the trial court would entitle the trial court to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner and to take him into custody.

S.Sb/-

(Jyoti Saran, J)

U			
---	--	--	--