

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2914 of 2013

=====

Batan Paswan, S/O Late Nirshu Paswan, R/O Vill-Dehad, P.S.&Anchal-Sonbarsa Raj, Distt-Saharsa

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Commissioner, Koshi Division, Distt-Saharsa
3. The Collector cum District Magistrate, Distt-Saharsa
4. The I/C Officer, District Revenue Branch, Distt-Saharsa
5. The Additional Collector, Distt-Saharsa
6. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Sadar Saharsa
7. The Circle Officer, Block and Circle, Sonbarsa Raj, Distt-Saharsa
8. Anchal Amin, Anchal Sonbarsa Raj, Distt-Saharsa

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumar Ravi Shankar, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : None

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

6 02-11-2015 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. However, none appears on behalf of the respondents, though the name of the learned State counsel is printed in the daily cause list.

In view of the nature of the grievances/claims raised on behalf of the petitioner, enumerated in paragraph no.1 of the present writ petition, this Court is of the opinion that the interest of justice shall be sub-served, if the petitioner is granted liberty to file a comprehensive representation before the respondent District Collector, Saharsa, raising all the pleas, which have been raised in the present writ petition. It is ordered accordingly.

If such a comprehensive representation with all supporting documents as also with a certified copy of the present order is filed by the petitioner within a period of one month from today, then the respondent District Collector, Saharsa either himself or any other competent authority of the respondent State

shall be obliged to consider and decide the claims raised on behalf of the petitioner strictly in accordance with law by a reasoned and speaking order, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, at an early date, preferably within a period of six months from the date of filing of such comprehensive representation by the petitioner.

It is clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the claims raised on behalf of the petitioner in the present writ petition and the same is left to be decided by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

The writ petition stands finally disposed of with the observations and directions made above.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--