

1. The S

1. Sudhir Singh @ Guija Singh @ Sudhir Kumar Son of Sagar Singh. R/o

Village Kemra, P.S. Ariari, District - Sheikhpura.

Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dinkar Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar 2 (App)

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar z (App)

=====

ORAL ORDER

II. $\mathcal{L}_1$ norm, $\mathcal{L}_2$ norm, $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ norm, $\mathcal{L}_1$ norm, $\mathcal{L}_2$ norm, $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ norm

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner Sh

Dinkar Kumar and learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest

The prosecution case is that while the informa

was returning to his home he saw that buffaloes were grazing the

trees which were planted under the scheme of Manrega and who

the same was protested by the informant, present petitioner and

other persons started abusing. It is further alleged that all the

accused persons started firing upon the informant and they ran

away after firing and this occurrence has been witnessed by

several villagers of the informant. Previously, his father and brother have been murdered. It is further alleged that informant was being attacked with intention to kill. It is further alleged that all the accused persons went to the house of informant and they abused at his house.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that there is personal enmity between the accused and the informant and informant being Mukhiya of the said village Grampanchayat because of his position has implicated the petitioner. It has further been submitted that no injury has been inflicted on the informant and informant is involved in number of criminal cases.

Be that as it may, let the above named petitioner in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of six weeks from today be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sheikhpura in Ariari P.S. Case No. 89 of 2015, subject to the conditions laid down under section 438(2) Cr.P.C. It may be mentioned that since the petitioner is earlier involved in two cases bearing Ariari P.S. Case no. 65 of 2000 and Ariari P.S. Case no. 212 of 2002, if the petitioner in

future is found to be involved in case of similar nature, the learned court below will be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the petitioner without being prejudiced with this order.

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

M.Rahman/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--