

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7038 of 2013

=====

Karu Bhagat S/O Bharan Bhagal R/O Rustampur, P.S.- Raghapur, District-
Vaishali Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary Department Of
Food And Civil Supplies, Government Of Bihar, Patna
 2. The Divisional Commissioner, Saran Division At Chhapra
 3. The District Magistrate, Vaishali At Hajipur
 4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Hajipur
 5. The Block Supply Officer, Raghapur, Vaishali Respondents
- =====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SAMARENDRA
PRATAP SINGH**
ORAL ORDER

5 13-08-2015 The petitioner has prayed for quashing order contained in

Memo no. 107 dated 31.3.2013, whereby the SDO, Hajipur has

cancelled his licence.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioner was granted

Licence no.93 of 2007 for running shop under PDS within

Raghapur block in district Vaishali. He has assailed the order on

the ground that no show cause was received by him. Further, the

allegations leveled against him are incorrect, as many of the

consumers have filed affidavits denying having made any

allegations.

On the other hand, counsel for the State submits that the

show cause was served upon the petitioner.

Without going into the counter versions of the parties, the

writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to take

resort to the remedy of appeal.

In case, an application for condoning the delay is filed, the appellate authority should consider the same sympathetically, as the petitioner was pursuing the remedy before this Court.

It is expected that the appeal so filed would be disposed of expeditiously.

(Samarendra Pratap Singh, J)

Shashi.

U			
---	--	--	--