

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.5447 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -285 Year- 2014 Thana -DUMRA District- SITAMARHI

=====

Ram Krishna Sharma @ Guddu Singh @ Guddu, Son of Mahanth Jagarnath
Das @ Mahanth Jagannath Das, resident of village - Ushrahiya, P.S. Riga,
District – Sitamarhi.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shankar Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. B.M.P.Sinha(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMARESH KUMAR LAL
ORAL ORDER

2 10-02-2015 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is in custody since 17.11.2014 for the
offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 325, 307,
447, 448, 452, 353, 186, 188, 332, 333, 337, 338, 427, 435, 436,
109, 224, 225, 440, 120B of the Indian Penal Code and Section 52
of Prisoners' Act.

It is alleged that when the I.G., Prisons ordered for
shifting of two prisoners Santosh Jha and Madhav Jha from
Sitamarhi Jail to Central Jail, Gaya and Buxar, the jail inmates
protested and assaulted the jail authorities.

It is submitted that 107 prisoners were named in the FIR
including the petitioner and 100-150 were unnamed prisoners. In
similar facts and circumstances, the co-accused Rajan Chaudhary

has been granted bail vide Cr. Misc. No.2605/2015.

The learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner has also criminal antecedents.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above-named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sitamarhi/court concerned in Dumra P.S. Case No.285/2014 **after framing of charge** with the following conditions :

1. One of the bailors will be the close relative of the petitioner.
2. The petitioner will not indulge in similar or in any other offence.
3. The petitioner will be well represented in the court.
4. In case of absence for two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, his bail bond will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.

(Amaresh Kumar Lal, J)

V.K. Pandey/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--