

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2649 of 2014

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 18181 of 2012

- =====
1. Shravan Kumar Son of Shri Tulsi Prasad Resident of Village and P.S.-Masaurhi, District-Patna.
 2. Ravindra Kumar Sinha son of Late Nand Kumar Sinha Resident of Village-Belkhara, P.S.-Karpi, District-Arwal.
 3. Ashok Kumar Sah Son of Late Bishundeo Prasad Sah Resident of Village-New Etwarpur, P.S.-Manganichak, Parsa Bazar, (Phulwari Sharif), District-Patna.
 4. Ram Lochan Lal Son of Late Basant Bihari Lal Das Resident of Village-Belarahi, P.S.-Jhanjharpur, District-Madhubani.
 5. Janardan Malviya Son of Late Sukhdeo Malviya, Resident of Village-Manpur, Gopal Pandey Lane, P.S.-Mufassil, District-Gaya.
 6. Ajay Kumar Thakur son of Brij Nandan Thakur Resident of Village-Beur, P.S.-Beur (New), District-Patna.
 7. Arvind Singh Son of Maha Nand Singh Resident of Village-Tari Par, P.S.-Bikram, District-Patna.
 8. Ganesh Ram Son of Late Ramanand Ram Resident of Village-Ramgarahia, P.S.-Ara Town, District-Ara (Bhojpur).
 9. Umesh Prasad Son of Late Brahmedeo Prasad Resident of Village-Mussi, P.S.-Makhdumpur, District-Jehanabad.
 10. Sheo Kumar Rai Son of Late Sri Ramdeo Rai Resident of Village-Amrupur, P.S.-Bhawarkoal, District-Gazipur (Uttar Pradesh).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Shri Rameshwar Singh Principal Secretary, Finance Department of Bihar, Patna
2. Shri D. S. Gangwar, Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Bihar, Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhinav Srivastava

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Jai Shankar Barnawal

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 20-05-2015

20.05.2015

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Except for petitioner nos. 3, 8 and 10, government has taken decision to extend the benefit in terms of the direction issued in the writ application. They have freedom to defend their rights or assail their right in

accordance with law.

So far as the left over seven petitioners are concerned the third supplementary show-cause indicates that steps have already been taken for payment of their dues and rightful claim. The details of the bills so passed and forwarded to the treasury as well as some of the payment already made have been indicated therein and some more letters of confirmation has produced by State counsel in this regard.

Be that as it may, Contempt Application stands disposed off with the hope that the Treasury Officer concerned will expedite the matter with regard to the payments to the petitioners.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)

SKM/-

U			
---	--	--	--