

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.147 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -null Year- null Thana -null District- PATNA

=====

Ferazul Haque, S/o Late S.K. Suddu, R/o Village - Puraina (Mahesra), P.S. -
Gopalpur, P.O.- Sundergawa, District - West Champaran.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Superintendent of Police, Economic Offence, Patna,
Bihar.

2. Rajesh Narain Verma, Inspector, Economic Offence Police Station, Patna, Bihar.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Md. Equbal Ahmad, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Manikant Mishra, GP-25

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 27-08-2015

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

By way of filing the present writ application under
Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner
seeks quashing of the first information report of Economic Offence
P.S. Case No. 33 of 2014 corresponding to Special Case No. 53 of
2014 registered under Section 13(2) read with 13(1)(e) of the
Prevention of Corruption Act (for short 'P.C. Act'), 1988.

The allegations made in the first information report do

attract ingredients of the offence punishable under Section 13(2) read with 13(1)(e) of the P.C. Act.

In that view of the matter, I do not find any merit in the present application. Accordingly, the application is dismissed.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that in case an adverse police report is filed after completion of investigation, the petitioner may be given liberty to challenge the same.

In my view, no such order is required to be passed. The petitioner would always be at liberty to raise his grievance, if an adverse police report submitted against him and after perusal of the same, the court takes cognizance of the offence.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J.)

Sanjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--