

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13191 of 2013

1. Seema Kumari Wife Of Raj Kumar Sharma Resident Of Village Baruna P.O.-
Oralaha, P.S.- Barhara Kothi, District- Purnea

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Collector, Purnea
2. District Programme Officer Purnea
3. Child Development Project Officer B. Kothi, Purnea
4. Block Development Officer B. Kothi, Purnea
5. Ranjana Kumari Anganbari Sevika Centre Baruneshwer Barhara Kothi, Purnea
6. Anganwari Supervisor Barhara Kothi, Purnea
7. Gita Kumari Wife Of Lalit Kumar Resident Of Village Baruna P.O. Oralaha, P.S.
B. Kothi, District Purnea Now As Anganwari Sevika Of Centre No. 133 Nathpur
Panchayat B. Kothi, Purnea

.... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. PRAMOD KUMAR MALLICK
For the Respondent/s : Mr. NIVEDITA NIRVIKAR

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date: 20-08-2015

20.08.2015

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The Court is inclined to allow the petitioner to move the appellate authority even now for the reason that on wrong legal advice she has filed the writ application against the selection of the private-respondent without exhausting the remedy in question.

Even otherwise since the records are readily available so is assistance of the local authorities, the appellate authority is better place to adjudicate the issue and appreciate the facts.

If an objection with regard to limitation is

raised, the same may be considered and waived.

Writ application stands disposed off with
observation / direction as above.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)

