

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14682 of 2010

=====

Rupa Devi W/O Sri Abhiram Prasad Shastri, R/O at P.O.- Menijohara, P.S.-
Goh, Distt.- Aurangabad

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Secretary Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The Director, Panchayati Raj Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna
4. The Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya
5. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad
6. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Aurangabad
7. The Deputy Development Commissioner-Cum-Chief Executive Officer
Zila Parisad, Aurangabad, Distt.- Aurangabad
8. The Sub Divisional Officer, Aurangabad
9. Renu Devi W/O Pankaj Kumar Vill.- Sihari, P.S.- Hanspura, Distt.-
Aurangabad
10. Raghwendra Pratap S/O Late Jugal Singh Vill.- Kuinwan, P.S.-
Aurangabad, Distt.- Aurangabad
11. Pankaj Kumar S/O Shri Deo Lal Ram Vill.- Sigri, P.S.- Haspura, Distt.-
Aurangabad

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.

For the Respondent No.1 to 8 : Mr. Harish Kumar, G.P. 32

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 02-04-2015 Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the
petitioner in support of the present writ petition.

Learned G.P.-32 appearing on behalf of the official
respondents submits that the present writ petition has been filed
against the notice dated 19.08.2010 (Annexure-10) issued under
the signature of Deputy Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj,
Government of Bihar, Patna, calling upon the petitioner to furnish
her explanation within 15 days, failing which legal action shall be
taken against her in terms of Section 70(5) of The Bihar Panchayat
Raj Act, 2006, as amended. According to him, the writ petition
against the show cause notice is not maintainable. The petitioner
could have furnished her explanation and only thereafter when

legal action was taken, then she could have approached the appropriate forum/court for grant of appropriate relief, but that has not been done in the present case.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the writ petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

The interim order of stay dated 07.09.2010 passed by a Bench of this Court stands vacated.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--