

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10098 of 2013

=====

Mahanth Kaushal Kishore Das, Chela Of Late 108 Rajeshwar Das Janki
Janmbhumi Punaura Dham, P.S. And District - Sitamarhi
2. Deity Of Ramjanki Installed In Ramjanki Mandir Punaura At Sitamarhi

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The Bihar State Hindu Religious Trust Board, Vidypati Marg, Patna
Through Its Adhyaksh
2. The Adhyaksh, Bihar State Hindu Religious Trust Board, Patna,
Respondents Ist Party
3. Shri Mihir Kumar Singh s/o not known to the petitioners, at Present
Posted As Secretary, Tourism Department, Government Of Bihar, Patna -
Cum - Adhyaksh,
4. Shri Arun Bhushan Prasad s/o not known to the petitioners (Retired)
Secretary, Flat No.202 Shobha Apartment, Boring Road, Patna
5. Shri Sajjan Hisaria s/o not known to the petitioners, Old Exchange Road,
Sitamarhi (Treasurer)
6. Shri Ramnath Mahto s/o not known to the petitioners, Resident Of
Village and P.O. Punaura, Sitamarhi - Member,
7. Dr. T.N. Singh, Rajopatti, s/o not known to the petitioners, Sitamarhi -
Member
8. Shri Arbind Kumar Singh s/o not known to the petitioners, Village and
P.O. Punaura, District Sitamarhi - Member,

Sl. Nos. 3 to 8 are office bearers and members of the alleged Trust
Committee Of Shri Ramjanki Janmbhumi Punaura Dham, District –
Sitamarhi,

.... Respondents 2nd Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : M/s Yogendra Mishra and
Arvind Kumar Jha, Advocates
For the Respondents : Mr. Sekhar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN
ORAL ORDER

5 28-08-2015 In view of the stand of the respondent-Bihar State Hindu

Religious Trust Board(hereinafter to be referred to as “the Board”)

in paragraphs 9 to 12 of the counter affidavit filed by it that the

committee under challenge already stood terminated by efflux of

time, i.e., on 11.11.2013, and consequently, the modification

notification dated 01.02.2013 contained in Annexure 9 has also lost its significance and force which has also lapsed together with further statement that the petitioner, who is a Mahanth of the trust in question, is continuing as such and the Board has no grievance against him, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press this application.

Accordingly, this application is dismissed as not pressed.

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J)

SC/-

U			
---	--	--	--