

Arising from the above facts, the following questions arise for consideration:

=====

1. Whether the Government is liable to pay compensation to the appellants for the loss of their land and the crops thereon?

2. Whether the Government is liable to pay compensation to the appellants for the loss of their land and the crops thereon?

3. Whether the Government is liable to pay compensation to the appellants for the loss of their land and the crops thereon?

4. Whether the Government is liable to pay compensation to the appellants for the loss of their land and the crops thereon?

1. Sushil Kumar Singh Son Of Sri Hanuman Singh Resident Of Village- Sarai, P.S.- Mohania, District- Kaimur (Bhabua), Presently Resident Of Village- Bandipur, P.S.- Ramgarh, District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

..... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The State Of Bihar
3. Archana Devi Daughter Of Sillu Prasad Singh Resident Of Village-Khairati, P.S.- Kurhani, District- Kaimur (Bhabhua)
4. Archana Devi Daughter Of Sillu Prasad Singh Resident Of Village-Khairati, P.S.- Kurhani, District- Kaimur (Bhabhua)

.... Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yogendra Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava(App)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 14-07-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered under sections 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code and 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

According to the first information report the allegation against the petitioner is of subjecting the victim to torture and ousting her from her matrimonial home for non-fulfillment of demand of four wheeler in dowry.

On behalf of the petitioner it is submitted that
petitioner is ready to keep his wife with all dignity but the same is

not acceptable to her. It has further been submitted that the petitioner has been directed by the Family Court to pay Rs. 4000/- per month to the daughter of the informant.

On behalf of the State it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the first information report.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, it is directed that the trial court shall verify whether any said order of maintenance has been passed or not. If the same order has been passed then in such circumstances, in the vent of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt /production of a copy of this order is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M, Bhabua (Kaimur) in Ramgarh P.S. Case No. 48 of 2013, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

M.Rahman/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--