

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8757 of 2014

=====

1. Parmanand Paswan, Son of Lal Chand Paswan Resident of village -
Nonaur, P.O. Karbasin, P.S. Sahar, District - Bhojpur

2. Saurabh Kumar Son of Sunil Jha Resident of P.O. Barauni, P.S. Teghra,
District - Begusarai

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Cabinet Secretary, Govt. of India, Central
Secretariat, New Delhi

2. Department of Personnel Training through its Secretary, Govt. of India,
Central Secretariat, New Delhi

3. Staff Selection Commission, through the Under Secretary, C.G.O.
Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi

4. Regional Director, Staff Selection Commission Eastern Region 8th
Floor, 1st M.S.O. Building, Nizam Palace, 234/4. A.J.C. Bose Road,
Kolkata - 700020

.... Respondent/s

=====

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8968 of 2014

=====

1. Sahender Kumar Son of Narayan Prasad Resident of Village - Bailetha,
P.O. Nadaul, P.S. Masaurhi, District - Patna, Bihar

2. Rohit Raj Son of Baldeo Prasad Resident of Village - Karubigha, P.O.
Karubigha, P.S. Nimchak Bathani, District - Gaya

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Cabinet Secretary, Govt. of India, Central
Secretariat, New Delhi

2. Department of Personnel Training through its Secretary, Govt. of India,
Central Secretariat, New Delhi

3. Staff Selection Commission, through the Under Secretary, C.G.O.
Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi

4. Regional Director, Staff Selection Commission Eastern Region 8th Floor,
1st M.S.O. Building, Nizam Palace, 234/4. A.J.C. Bose Road, Kolkata -
700020

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

(In CWJC No.8757 of 2014)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Prakash, Advocate.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sinha, CGC.

(In CWJC No.8968 of 2014)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Prakash, Advocate.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Raj Kamal, CGC.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MIHIR KUMAR JHA

ORAL ORDER

3 17-03-2015

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Mr. Amit Prakash, learned counsel for the petitioners, has submitted that during pendency of both these writ applications, the grievance of the three, out of the four petitioners, have been redressed and the petitioners have also hope and belief that the respondents should do justice to the left over the petitioner, namely, Saurabh Kumar by adopting the same norms which was fairly taken by them in case of rest of three petitioners. He, accordingly, prays to withdraw both these writ applications in order to enable the petitioner, namely, Saurabh Kumar to move the competent authority for redressal of his grievance.

3. This part of submission of the petitioners is not opposed by the learned counsel for the respondents.

4. That being so, both these writ applications are disposed of with liberty to the petitioner, namely, Saurabh Kumar, to approach the competent authority for redressal of his grievance relating to appointment on the post of Constable.

(Mihir Kumar Jha, J)

Sujit/-

U			
---	--	--	--