

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10348 of 2013

1. Md. Zafir Ansari Son Of Md. Abdul Sattar Ansari Resident Of Village - Kansua,
P.O. - Ratni Bazar, P.S. - Shakooraabad, District - Jehanabad

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Chief Secretary, Government Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Education, Government Of Bihar, Patna
3. The Secretary, Department Of Education (Higher Education), Government Of Bihar, Patna
4. The Secretary, Department Of Education (Technical Courses), Government Of Bihar, Patna
5. Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Examination Board, Through Its Chairman , Ias Association Building, Near Patna Airport, P.S. - Airport, District - Patna - 14
6. Chairman, Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Examination Board, Ias Association Building, Near Patna Airport, P.S. - Airport, District - Patna -14
7. Secretary, Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Examination Board, Ias Association Building, Near Patna Airport, P.S. - Airport, District - Patna - 14
8. Officer On Special Duty, Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Examination Board, Ias Association Building, Near Patna Airport, P.S. - Airport, District - Patna - 14 Null Null
9. Controller Of Examination, Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Examination Board, Ias Association Building, Near Patna Airport, P.S. - Airport, District - Patna - 14
10. Centre Head, Dr. Nemichand Shashtri Girls High School, Ara , District - Bhojpur
11. Principal, Government Polytechnic , Gaya

.... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 17-08-2015

The reliance placed by the petitioner on the ratio of the
decision in Amrish Kumar Vrs State of Bihar reported in 2014 (2)
PLJR-427 is not available in the present petition. In absence of any

materials or evidence, which can cast a doubt on Forensic Science Laboratory report to give the benefit of rarest of rare cases to the petitioner, no case is made out. Petitioner has not brought any other evidence to show that the opinion given by the Forensic department suffers from any judgmental error, scientific or technical.

In view of the same and in view of the material based on which the impugned decision has come to be taken, the impugned order is not required to be interfered with.

Accordingly, the writ application is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--