

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2732 of 2015

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 9133 of 2010

=====

Chistia Khatoon wife of Late Mohammad Jainul Haque, resident of
Mohalla- River Tola, Ward No.6, Police Station and District- Katihar

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry of Railway, Government of India, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi.
2. North Frontier Railway through its General Manager, having its office at Maligaon, Guwahati
3. The General Manager, North Frontier Railway, having its office at Maligaon, Guwahati
4. The Divisional Railway Manager (Commercial), North Frontier Railway Station, having its Office at Katihar Railway Station
5. Senior Divisional Commercial Manager, Katihar Railway Station, Katihar
6. The Chief Commercial Manager (Station), Katihar Railway Station, Katihar
7. The Chief Commercial Inspector, Katihar Frontier Railway having its Office at Maligaon, Guwahati
8. The Indian Railway catering and Tourism Corporation Limited through its Executive Director, Catering Service, having its corporate office at 9th Floor, Bank of Baroda Building, 16, Parliament Street, New Delhi
9. The Railway Catering and Tourism Corporation Limited, Eastern Zone, through the General Manager, Eastern Zone-3, Koyalghat Street, Ground Floor, Kolkata
10. Group General Manager, Indian Railway Catering and Tourism Corporation Limited, East Zone, having its office at 3, Koyalgaht Street, Ground Floor, Kolkata
11. The Regional Manager, Indian Railway Catering and Tourism Corporation Limited, Guwahati having its Office at 4D, Mandovi Apartment, G.N.B. Road, Ambari, Guwahati

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Opposite Party nos.1to7 : Mr. Anil Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party nos.8to11 : Mr.Mithilesh Kumar Pathak, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-12-2015 Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioner in support of the present MJC application, though the name of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner

is printed in the daily cause list.

Consequently, the present MJC application stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--