

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.842 of 2011

=====

Upendra Prasad son of Sidheshwar Prasad, resident of village –Ushri, PS-Kako, District-Jehanabad at present posted as Store-Keeper in the Building Construction Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mr. Amardeep Sinha, the Principal Secretary, Department of Health, Medical Education and Family Welfare, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Sudhir Kumar, the Secretary, Building Construction Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. Mr. Mahashankar Mishra, the Joint Secretary, Department of Health, Medical Education and Family Welfare, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tej Bahadur Roy

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Avnish Nandan Sinha GP 11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

23 20-05-2015

This Court, vide order dated 21st May 2010 in CWJC

No. 8625 of 2002 directed the respondent to consider the case of the petitioner for regularization in terms of judgment reported in **2006 (2) PLJR 363 SC (Secretary State of Karnataka vs. Uma Devi).**

In view of the direction of this Court, the petitioner was regularized in service and has also been given the benefit of ACP, vide order dated 20.01.2015, but counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent has not started making payment in terms of the new Notification and also not paid the admissible arrears, at the same time, counsel for the petitioner has also raised a grievance that after regularization, deduction made under

the heading of GPF, has not been transferred to the new GPF account of the petitioner.

In such view of the matter, the respondent is directed to make payment along with admissible arrears in terms of order dated 26th December 2014 and the amount, which is pending or outstanding under the heading of earlier GPF, be transferred to the new GPF account of the petitioner. If the amount has been deposited in the treasury, the Treasury Officer is directed to transfer the same in the new GPF account of the petitioner without unnecessary delay.

With the above observation/direction, this petition is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Mahesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--