

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14994 of 2015

=====

Baleshwar Mahto, Son of Munshi Mahto, Resident of Village- Deoghara,
Chand Tola, P.S.- Mednichowki, District- Lakhisarai.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Collector, Lakhisarai District- Lakhisarai.
2. Collector, Lakhisarai, District- Lakhisarai.
3. Additional Collector, Lakhisarai, District- Lakhisarai.
4. Anchal Adhikar, Suryagarha, District- Lakhisarai.
5. Bihar Bhood Yagna Committee Raod No.34, Gardanibagh, Patna, P.S.-
Gardanibagh, District- Patna, through its Chairman.
6. Chairman, Bihar Bhoodan Yagna Committee Raod No.34, Gardanibagh,
Patna, P.S.- Gardanibagh, District- Patna.
7. Office Secretary, District Bhoodan Committee Collectorate, Munger,
District- Munger.
8. Madan Sao.
9. Sudhir Sao Both Sons of Late Nagoru SAo
10. Kunti Devi Wife of Thakur Sao
11. Smt. Nutan Devi Wife of Kishore Yadav Serial No. 8 to 11 are resident
of Village- Safipur Bhirha, P.S.- Mednichawki, District- Lakhisarai.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent nos.1to4 : Mr. Suman Kumar Jha, AC to AAG-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-10-2015

Though, this matter has been listed under the heading for admission for out of turn hearing on priority basis on the request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, however, when the matter has been taken up for consideration, none appears on behalf of the petitioner in support of the present writ petition, though the name of the learned counsel for the petitioner is printed in the daily cause list.

Learned AC to AAG-5 appearing on behalf of the respondent nos.1 to 4 submits that against the impugned order passed by the respondent Additional Collector, Lakhisarai, the petitioner has an alternative and efficacious remedy before the

learned Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna in view of the provisions contained in Section 9 of the Bihar Land Tribunal Act, 2009. According to him, in above view of the matter, the present writ petition is liable to be dismissed.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the writ petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--