

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.34168 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -22 Year- 2013 Thana -RAJPUR District-
EASTCHAMPARAN(MOTIHARI)

=====

1. Rajesh Rai @ Rajesh Kumar Yadav @ Ajit Kumar Son of Rambabu
Yadav resident of village - Fajilpur, P.S. Rajepur, District - East
Champaran

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Chandra Verma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Kr.Singh(App)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

3 23-11-2015 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
the learned A.P.P.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case for the offences
punishable under sections 387, 506 and 120 of the I.P.C

Allegedly, ransom of Rs. 5,00,000/- was demanded
from the informant by unknown persons on the mobile and during
investigation the petitioner confessed his guilt.

Submission is of false implication and that besides
confessional statement of the petitioner there is no other material.
The mobile through which ransom was demanded does not belong
to the petitioner and only on the basis of criminal antecedent he
has been implicated in this case. Other co-accused have been

allowed bail in this case.

The learned A.P.P. opposes prayer for bail by submitting that the petitioner is involved in 16 more cases.

In the facts and circumstances as stated above, considering that other co-accused have been allowed bail and besides the confessional statement of the petitioner there is no other material against the petitioner and as such considering his custody, now he is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Sri D. Kumar, J.M. 1st Class, Sikrahana, East Champaran at Motihari in Rajepur P.S. Case No. 22 of 2013, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

Abhay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--