

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.262 of 2014

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 20697 of 2010

=====

1. Girijesh Kumar Son Of Late Prakash Lal C/O Bindeshwari Prasad,
Resident Of Mansha Ram Ka Akhara, P.S. Mehdiganj, Patnacity, District -
Patna

2. Triveni Kumar Sinha Son Of Naresh Prasad Sinha Resident Of Mohalla -
Harnichak, Sobha Niwas, P.S. Phulwarisharif, District - Patna

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Sri Ashok Kumar Sinha, Chief Secretary,
Government Of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna

2. Sri Rameshwar Prasad Singh, Principal Secretary, Finance Department,
Old Secretariat, Patna

3. Sri Deepak Kumar, Secretary General Administration, State Of Bihar,
Old Secretariat, Bihar, Patna

4. Sri Pratya Amrit, Principal Secretary, Road Construction Department,
Bisheshwariya Bhawan, Patna

5. Sri Chandrashekhar, Deputy Secretary, Road Construction Department,
Bihar, Patna

6. Sri Laxmi Kant Patel, Executive Engineer, New Rajdhani Road Division,
Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Devendra Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Parties :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

7 22-01-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for State.

The present petition was filed with a prayer to initiate



contempt proceeding against opposite parties on an allegation of willful disobedience to an order dated 26/04/2012 passed in CWJC No. 20697 of 2010. By the said order termination of the petitioners against work charge establishment was set aside by this court and direction was issued to the respondents to consider the case of regularization and pass a speaking order.

In the present petition petitioners have brought on record Annexure - '3' whereby the opposite party/ Secretary, Road Construction Department has considered the case of regularization and passed a speaking order.

In the show cause filed on behalf of opposite party no. 4 to 6, a specific statement has been made that in compliance with the order of the writ court, petitioners have already been re-instated as work charge employee on their respective posts.


In view of the fact that in compliance with the order of the writ court petitioners have already been re-instated and a reasoned order on the point of regularization has already been passed vide Annexure - '3', there is no need to keep the matter pending.

The petition stands disposed of.

If petitioners feel aggrieved with Annexure - '3' whereby claim for regularization has been rejected, petitioners

would be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy.

The petition stands disposed of.



Praful/-

U			
---	--	--	--

(Rakesh Kumar, J)