

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7701 of 2014

=====

Jyoti Kumar Verma Son of Late Bhuneshwari Prasad, at present posted as Head Clerk/ office Superintendent in Directorate Library, Bihar, Patna Sinha Library Road, P.S- Kotwali, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department having his office at Vikash Bhawan, Police Station Sachivalya, District- Patna.
2. The Director Human resources Development Department having his office at Vikash Bhawan, Police Station Sachivalya, District- Patna.
3. The Director Directorate Libaray having his office at Sinha Libary Road Police Station Kotwali, District- Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Munkenhwar Dayal
Mr. Vikas Mohan

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, AC to GP-16

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 28-01-2015

Heard the parties.

In view of the nature of grievances/claims raised on behalf of the petitioner in the present writ petition, this Court is of the opinion that the interest of justice shall be sub-served if the petitioner is granted liberty to file a comprehensive representation with all supporting documents before the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna raising all the points, which have been raised in the present writ petition. It is ordered accordingly.

If such a comprehensive representation is filed on behalf of the petitioner within a period of four weeks from today with a certified copy of the present order, then the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna either himself or any other competent authority of the respondent State shall be obliged to consider and decide the claims of the petitioner, after

giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by a reasoned and speaking order at an early date preferably within a period of three months from the date of filing of such representation.

If on consideration of the materials the competent authority comes to a conclusion that claims raised on behalf of the petitioner are admissible to him, then consequential order shall also be issued for grant of such admissible claims without any unnecessary further delay.

It is clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the claims raised on behalf of the petitioner in the present writ petition and it is left to be decided by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

The writ petition stands finally disposed of with the observations and directions made above.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--