

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6704 of 2014

=====

Sarita Verma Widow Of Late Ashok Kumar Verma Resident Of Sarita Sadan, New Chitragupta Nagar, Near Laxmi Market, Kankarbagh, Post Office- Lohiya Nagar, Police Station- Kankarbagh, District- Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Managing Director, The Bihar State Food And Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd., Sone Bhawan, Vth Floor, Virchand Patel Path, Patna
3. The District Manager, Bihar State Food And Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. Nalanda At Biharsharif
4. The Pramukh Dawa, The Bihar State Food And Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd., Sone Bhawan, Virchand Patel Path, Patna
5. The Accountant General (A And E), Bihar, Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Indu Bhushan, Adv.
For the BSFC : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the State : Mrs. Abhanjali, AC to GP-16
For the A.G. : Mr. Ajit Kumar, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MIHIR KUMAR JHA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 06-02-2015

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The moment this Court would find that the prayer of the petitioner made in this writ application is for quashing of the order dated 16.7.2002, this writ application, filed on 3.4.2014, must be held to be grossly over delayed. The only explanation sought to be made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that earlier the husband of the petitioner had filed a writ application and after his death on 8.10.2000, the wife, the petitioner, was availing remedy before this Court in the writ application and its appeal will be of no consequence because the

writ application filed by the petitioner, CWJC No. 11851 of 2001, was disposed of on 13.11.2001 and the appeal, LPA No. 12 of 2002, against the same was also disposed of by an order dated 29.1.2002.

As a matter of fact, the impugned order was passed again in the year 2002 itself i.e. on 16.7.2002 whereafter her contempt application MJC No. 2428 of 2002 was disposed of by an order dated 7.8.2003.

In that view of the matter, this Court is now not inclined to reopen the whole thing after a lapse of twelve years specially when the order directing payment of admitted amount has also been carried out as noted by the Division Bench in the order disposing of the contempt application.

That being so, this application is wholly misconceived and is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Mihir Kumar Jha, J)

Rishi/-

U			
---	--	--	--