

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3513 of 2015

- =====
1. Ganesh Thakur, Son of Shri Indradev Thakur , Resident of Village & P.O.- Hirdaychak, P.S.- Arwal, (Kalet), District - Jehanabad.
 2. Shambhu Nath Jha, Son of Shri Krityanarayan Jha, Resident of Village & P.O.- Mahrail, P.S.- Jhanjharpur, District- Madhubani.
 3. Jitendra Kumar Choudhary , Son of Sri Rajendra Choudhary, Resident of Village- Nadiyami, P.S.- Manigachi Kursu , District- Darbhanga.
 4. Diwakant Jha, Son of Sri Anirudh Jha, Resident of Village- Belhara, P.S.- Babubhari, District- Madhubani.
 5. Bahadur Verma, Son of Sri Ojha Verma, Resident of Village, P.O.& P.S.- Sarath, District- Deoghar.
 6. Vijay Kumar Singh, Son of Sri Kedar Nath Singh, Resident of Village- Khordeha, P.S.- Barahia, District- Munger.
 7. Brij Bihari Prasad , Son of Sri Bharat Ram, Resident of Village, P.O.& P.S.- Kharhatar, District- Buxar.
 8. Satish Kumar Thakur , Son of Late Bishnukant Thakur, Resident of Village- Bhowara, Ward No. 26, District- Madhubani.
 9. Jitendra Kumar Mishra, Son of Sri Awadh Narayan Mishra, Resident of Village- Darhar, P.O. & P.S.- Laheriasarai, District- Darbhanga.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, (Higher Education), Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The South Indian Language Academy, Patna through its Chairman.
5. The Chairman-cum-Director, South Indian Language Academy, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Smt. Shashi Priya Pathak
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Gp15- Sharad Kumar Sinha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
ORAL ORDER

3 05-05-2015 Fund has already been released for payment of salary etc. by the State Government, which will be evident from Annexure B. So far as claim of the petitioners for payment of

salary in terms of 6th Pay Revision Committee Report is concerned, that is a matter to be decided by the respondent-authority as a matter of policy. No direction can be issued by this Court with regard to the same. As the primary relief of salary has already been acceded to by the respondent- State, the application stands disposed of.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--