

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.18979 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -64 Year- 2014 Thana -MAGADH MEDICAL COLLEGE District-
GAYA

=====

Laddu @ Neeraj Paswan Son of Baijnath Paswan @ Gola Paswan resident
of village - Kusari, P.S. M.M.C.H., District - Gaya

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

with

Criminal Miscellaneous No.19034 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -64 Year- 2014 Thana -MAGADH MEDICAL COLLEGE District-
GAYA

=====

1. Ramprit Paswan son of Late Bishun Paswan null
2. Hagan @ Vikash Kumar son of Uday Paswan Both resident of village -
Kusarhi, Police Station- Magadh Medical and District- Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

(In Cr.Misc. No.18979 of 2015)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jagjit Roshan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary(App)

(In Cr.Misc. No.19034 of 2015)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manish Kumar No-2

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. S.D.Singh Yadav(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

5 01-10-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner, namely, Laddu @ Neeraj Paswan of Cr.
Misc. No. 18979 of 2015 and the petitioner, namely, Hagan @
Vikash Kumar of Cr. Misc. No. 19034 of 2015 are apprehending

their arrest in connection with Magadh Medical P.S. Case No. 64 of 2014 registered for the offences punishable under Section 302/34 the Indian Penal Code.

Earlier diary of the present case was called for which has since been received.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that originally, the case was filed without giving name of these petitioners but subsequently, the informant has tried to develop the story and named these two petitioners having their role in the said occurrence.

Learned counsel for the State after perusal of the case diary, submits that both the daughters of the informant have specifically named these petitioners, who had come to her house and taken away the deceased for eating the PRASAD of Chhath Festival, however, when the deceased did not return, the informant of the case has lodged the present case.

Considering that there is sufficient material in the case diary so as to implicate the petitioners, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. It is, accordingly, rejected.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

Jagdish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--