

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12421 of 2011**

=====

M/S Din Dayal Gupta @ Sons Vending Contractor, Patna Saheb Railway Station, through its partner Ramnarayan Gupta, son of Late Dindayal Gupta, resident of Humadgali , P.S- Chowk, Dist.-Patna

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through Divisional Railway Manager, Eastern Railway, Danapur.
2. The Divisional Commercial Manager, Danapur
3. The Assistant Commercial Manager (Catering), Danapur
4. The Station Manager, Patna Saheb Railway Station, Patna Saheb, Patna

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Mahesh Prasad, Adv.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

11    08-10-2015                      Despite repeated calls no one appears on behalf of the petitioner.

2.    Learned counsel for the respondent Railways submits that the grievance of the petitioner has since been redressed as the Stall in question have already been handed over to the petitioner for operation and as such the writ petition itself has become infructuous.

3.    Be that as it may, in view of non-appearance of the petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(Vikash Jain, J)**

Md. Ibrarul/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|