

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 20630 of 2010

- =====
1. Ram Chandra Sahu Son of Late Laxmi Sahu
 2. Subain Sahu Son of Late Ramroop Sahu
- Both are resident of village – Kachhubi, Tole – Bathanaha P.O.
Tamoriya P.S. & Anchal – Lakhanaur Sub-Division – Jhanjharpur Distt.
– Madhubani (Bihar).

.... **Petitioners**

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
3. The Collector of District (D.M.) Madhubani
4. The Additional Collector, Madhubani
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer (S.D.O.) Jhanjharpur, Madhubani.
6. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms (DCLR) Jhanjharpur,
Madhubani.
7. The Anchala Adhikari, Lakhanaur, Anchal- Lakhanaur, Distt. –
Madhubani.

..... **Respondent 1st party**

8. Sri Sumiran Sahu, Son of Late Prabhu Sahu @ Parsi Sahu
 9. (i) Chuliya Devi, W/o Late Hazari Sahu
 - (ii) Nasiblal Sah, S/o Late Hazari Sahu
 - (iii) Gulab Devi, D/o Late Hazari Sahu
- All are resident of village – Kachhubi, Tole – Bathanaha, P.O. –
Tamoriya P.S. & Anchal – Lakhanaur Sub Division – Jhanjharpur
Distt. Madhubani (Bihar).

.... **Respondent 2nd party**

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Nath Jha
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Fazal Rahman Gp6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5. 07-07-2015 Heard Sri Shashi Nath Jha, learned counsel for
petitioners, learned A.C. to Govt. Pleader – 7 as well as Sri
Dhirendra Kumar Jha, learned counsel, who appears on behalf of
respondent no. 8 & heirs of respondent no. 9.

During the pendency of the writ petition, in view of
certain development, petitioners have filed second supplementary

affidavit with a prayer to allow the petitioners to withdraw the writ petition. It has been pleaded that in respect of same dispute, private respondents have filed a title suit, vide Title Suit No. 34 of 2010, which is pending in the court of Munsif, Jhanjharpur, (Madhubani). A prayer has been made by petitioners to grant liberty to them to raise all the points, which have been raised in the present writ petition, in the suit.

The prayer is allowed.

The writ petition stands dismissed as withdraw with liberty, as indicated above.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U			
---	--	--	--