

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14912 of 2010

=====

1. Amarnath Roy S/O Sri Khudneshwar Ray R/O Vill Harsingpur Tand,
P.S.Sarairanjan, Distt-Samastipur

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, Primary Education Government Of Bihar, Patna
3. The District Superintendent Of Education Samastipur
4. The Block Development Officer, Block-Sarairanjan, Distt-Samastipur
5. The Block Education Extension Officer, Block-Sarairanjan, Distt-Samastipur
6. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Jitwarpur-Kumhira, Block-Sarairanjan, Distt-Samastipur
7. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Jitwarpur-Kumhira, Block-Sarairanjan, Distt-Samastipur
8. The Member , District Teacher Appointment Appellate Authority Samastipur
9. Jitendra Kumar Roy S/O Sri Ramanand Roy R/O Vill Harsingpur Mahsi, P.S.Sarairanjan, Distt-Samastipur
10. Amarjeet Singh Rathore S/O Sri Ramanand Singh R/O Vill Harsingpur Mahsi, P.S.Sarairanjan, Distt-Samastipur

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajeev Kumar Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Karandeep Kumar, AC to GP 4
Mr Rajeeva Roy

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 28-04-2015

Taking into consideration the affidavit of the Director,
Primary Education, Government of Bihar, especially orders
contained in Annexures A, B and C, there cannot be a case for any
consideration for appointment of anybody much less the petitioner.

So far as respondents no.9 and 10, it is the submission of
the counsel for the petitioner that they are still continuing on the post

of a Panchayat Teacher because they happened to be wards of the Mukhiya of the Gram Panchayat. This is a matter which is required to be looked into by Block Development Officer, Sarairanjan, district Samastipur. If the position indicated with regard to their continuance is correct, immediate steps will be required to be taken because now the vigilance enquiry has thrown up the fact that the entire selection process was vitiated and therefore, no right in favour of any person accrues.

Writ is disposed of with the above direction.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

sk

U			
---	--	--	--