

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19066 of 2014

=====

All India Confederation of Scheduled Castes AND Scheduled Tribes Organization, Bihar State Unit, through its General Secretary namely Narendra Kumar S/o Sri narayan Choudhary, aged about 46 years Resident of Village Sikandarpur, P.O. Chandmari, P.S. Sahpur, Danapur Cant, Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Bihar Public Service Commission through its Secretary, 15-Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg (Bailey Road), Patna.
5. The Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, 15-Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg (Bailey Road), Patna.
6. The Joint Secretary-cum-Examination Controller, Bihar Public Service Commission, 15-Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg (Bailey Road), Patna.
7. The Union Government of India through its Secretary, Education Department, New Delhi.
8. The Home Secretary, Union Government of India, New Delhi.
9. The Director, CBI, Lodi Road, New Delhi.
10. The Secretary, Department of Personnel and Training, Union Government of India.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Dinu Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent State: Mr. Lalit Kishore, PAAG
For the Respondent Nos. 4-6: Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate
Mr. Satyabir Bharti, Advocate
For the Respondent No.9: Mr. Bipin Kumar Sinha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 23-09-2015 Heard Mr. Dinu Kumar, learned Counsel for the petitioner, and Mr. Lalit Kishore, learned Principal Additional Advocate General, appearing for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. We

have heard also Mr. Sanjay Pandey, learned Counsel, appearing for the respondent Nos. 4 to 6, and Mr. Bipin Kumar Sinha, learned Counsel, appearing for the respondent No.9.

After some arguments, Mr. Dinu Kumar, learned Counsel for the petitioner, seeks permission to withdraw this application with liberty to approach the appropriate authority with appropriate application, if so advised, and also to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

No objection has been raised the respondents to the prayer for withdrawal made by the petitioner.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, this writ application is hereby disposed of as withdrawn with liberty granted to the petitioner to approach this Court with appropriate application, in future, if so advised, and also to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--