

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13149 of 2014

Vicky Chauhan, son of Balendra Chauhan, resident of village Govindpur Beldari
Police Station Noorsarai, District- Nalanda.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Nalanda, District Nalanda.
5. The Circle Officer, Noorsarai, Block Noorsarai, Police station Noorsarai, District- Nalanda.
6. The Thana In- Charge Noorsarai, Police Station and District Nalanda.
7. Lalit Chauhan, Son of Late Chamru Chauhan
8. Shivdhari Chauhan, son of Late Lacchhu chauhan Respondent No. 7 AND 8 are resident of village Makanpur, Police Station Noorsarai, District- Nalanda.

.... Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rabindra Prasad Singh

For the State : Mr. Anil Kumar Sinha, GA 9

Mr. Amaresh Kumar Sinha, AC to GA 9

For Respondent nos. 7 & 8: Mr. Raja Ram Mishra

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 09-03-2015

This Public Interest Litigation is filed alleging that respondent nos. 7 and 8 encroached a public road from Biharsharif to Ekangarwari in Village Govindpur Beldari, Police Station Noorsarai in the District of Nalanda. Though repeated representations are made to the Authorities of the Revenue and Police Department, they did not remove the encroachment.

This Court entertained this Public Interest Litigation and issued notice to the respondents, particularly the respondent nos.

7and 8. Respondent nos. 1 to 3 have filed counter affidavit. It is stated that encroachment of the road by respondent nos. 7 and 8 has been removed on 17.1.2015 with the intervention of the officials of the Revenue and Police Department. It is also stated that in the ‘Panchnama’ that was drawn on 17.1.2015, not only the officials but also the petitioner as also the respondent no. 8 have signed upon it.

No reply affidavit has been filed contradicting the same.

We, therefore, close the Writ Petition since the grievance of the petitioner is redressed.

(L. Narasimha Reddy,CJ)

(Vikash Jain, J)

mrl

U			
---	--	--	--