

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.986 of 2014

=====

Vidyasagar Prasad S/O Late Kishun Deo Prasad, Resident of
Ward No.- 3, P.S.- Sheohar, District- Sheohar, Proprietor of M/S
Sweta Enterprises, Ward No. 3, Sheohar, District- Sheohar

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. State Bank Of India, Sheohar Branch, Sheohar, District-
Sheohar
2. The Authorised Officer, State Bank of India, Sheohar Branch,
Sheohar
3. The Regional Manager, State Bank of India, Regional
Business Office, Club Road, Muzaffarpur

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjeet Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondents : M/s Binod bihari Sinha with
Sushant Kumar Dutta, Advocates

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN
ORAL ORDER

2 11-02-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
matter has been settled in Rashtriya Lok Adalat held on 6.12.2014
as both parties have compromised the *lis*. A copy of the Award
has been produced at the time of hearing. Let it be taken on
record. As such, it is contended that the same renders this writ

petition infructuous.

In the above view of the matter, this writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J)

Spd/-

U			
---	--	--	--