

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.48897 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -84 Year- 2015 Thana -SHEOHAR District- SHEOHAR

1. Pramod Sahni Son of Mahendra Sahni, Resident of Village - Hanuman Nagar, P.S. - Sheohar, District - Sheohar.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Devendra Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nagendra Prasad (App)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN SHARMA  
ORAL ORDER

3      04-12-2015                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sheohar P.S.Case No. 84 of 2015 registered for the offence under section 395 of the I.P.C. and 27 of the Arms Act.

Allegedly, 8 to 10 unknown miscreants committed dacoity in the house of the informant at the point of gun and took away cash of Rs. 30,000/- and the ornaments of his wife and papers of fixed deposits alongwith the mobile.

Submission is of false implication and the petitioner has been implicated only the basis of suspicion and nothing has been recovered from his possession. The name of the petitioner surfaced in the confessional statement of co-accused but at the time of occurrence the petitioner was at Delhi and the petitioner is

suffering in custody since 27.07.2015 having no criminal antecedent.

The learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail by submitting that the mobile was recovered from the house of the petitioner and thereafter, he has been arrested and he has confessed his guilt.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, the petitioner Pramod Sahni shall be released on bail after completing eight months in custody on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- ( ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sheohar in connection with Sheohar P. S. Case No. 84 of 2015, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the Court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

**(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)**

Sudha/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|