

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.822 of 2014**

=====

1. Madhu Sudan Singh S/O Late Amir Singh Resident Of Village Baligaon, P.S-  
Baligaon, District- Vaishali, At Present Posted As Area Assistant, Under Block  
Agriculture Office, Banka.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Chief Secretary, Agriculture Department, Govt. Of  
Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Agriculture, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector, Banka.
4. The District Agriculture Officer, Banka.
5. The Block Agriculture Officer, Banka.
6. The Sub- Divisional Agriculture Officer, Banka.

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. MUKESH KUMAR

For the Respondent/s : Mr. KAUSHAL KISHORE, AC TO GP23

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date: 05-02-2015**

Since there is no evidence to show that the petitioner was given an  
opportunity before Annexures-8 and 9 dated 10-7-2013 and 22-7-2013  
respectively came to be passed, the writ application is disposed of after quashing

Annexures 8 and 9 with a direction upon respondent no.5, Block Agriculture Officer, Banka, that he will issue specific show cause to the petitioner with regard to the issue which led to passing of Annexures 8 and 9, and after accepting the explanation of the petitioner, a fresh order will be required to be passed.

Since the salary of the petitioner has been stopped because of passing of Annexures 8 and 9, the respondent-authorities are directed to take a decision with regard to the above within a period of eight weeks from the date of production of a copy of this order.

The respondents are also directed to release the salary of the petitioner for the interregnum till a fresh order or decision is taken in this regard.

The writ application stands disposed of in terms of the above direction.

**(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)**

B.Roy/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|