

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6720 of 2004

=====

Sunita Devi wife of Sri Surendra Singh, resident of Mohalla Chakwara,
P.S.Hajipur, District Vaishali

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. State Election Commission, Bihar Sone Bhawan, Patna
3. Returning Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Officer, Hajipur Sub Division,
P.S.Hajipur, District Vaishali
4. Assistant Returning Officer-cum-Executive Magistrate, Hajipur,
P.S.Hajipur, District Vaishali
5. Kumari Manisha wife of Sri Bindeshwari Rai, resident of Mohalla
Lodipur, P.S.Hajipur Town, P.O. Hajipur, District Vaishali
6. Reeta Singh wife of Anil Singh
7. Sangeeta Devi wife of Bindeshwar Singh
Both residents of Mohalla Chakwara, P.S.Hajipur town, P.O. Hajipur,
District Vaishali
8. Uma Devi wife of Pakir Mahto
9. Jairagi Devi wife of Sheo Sharan Mahto
10. Dulatiya Devi wife of Basudeo Singh
11. Dharamshila Devi wife of Bisheundeo Rai
12. Babita Devi wife of Sanjay Kumar
13. Ramsheela Devi wife of Sadhu Sharan Singh,
All are resident of Moahall Ram Bhadra, P.S.Hajipur Town, P.O.
Hajipur, District Vaishali
14. Munni Devi wife of Baijnath Prasad, resident of Mohalla Patwa
Toil, Bharat Raut Chauhatta, P.O. Hajipur, P.S.Hajipur Town,
District Vaishali
15. Radha Devi wife of Ram Pravesh Rai, resident of Mohalla Lodipur,
P.S. Hajipur Town, P.O. Hajipur, District Vaishali

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 : Mr.Krishna Kumar, AC to GP

For the Other Respondent/s : Mr. Pandit Jee Pandey, Advocate
Mr.Nawnit Kumar Tiwary, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 14-07-2015

The present writ petition has been filed under Article
227 of the Constitution of India against the interlocutory order
dated 27.05.2004 passed in Election Case No. 49 of 2002 by
learned Munsif Ist, Hajipur (Vaishali). Indisputably, the aforesaid

election case was finally disposed of by the learned court below.

Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioner in support of the present writ petition. However, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that in view of final disposal of the aforesaid Election Case No. 49 of 2002, the present matter has obviously become infructuous.

Submissions made by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents appear to be correct.

In above view of the matter, the present writ petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Tahir/-

U			
---	--	--	--