

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.49616 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -99 Year- 2014 Thana -JOGAPATTI District-
WESTCHAMPARAN(BETTIAH)

- =====
1. Akri Ram son of Late Bhola Ram
 2. Dulari Devi wife of Akri Ram
 3. Nagina Ram son of Late Bhola Ram
 4. Pintu Ram @ Pintu Kumar Son of Nagina Ram
 5. Suraj Ram @ Suruj Ram son of Late Bhola Ram null
 6. Ramsunar Ram son of Luti Ram
 7. Manturi Devi wife of Harihar Ram
- All residents of village - Barwa Ojha, P.S. - Yogapatti (Shanichari),
District - West Champaran.
8. Ramchandra Ram son of Late Deepak Ram resident of village -
Shanichari Naya Basti, P.S. - Yogapatti (Shanichari), District - West
Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Chandra Verma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shahin Begum(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 30-04-2015 The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in

connection with Yogapatti P.S.Case No. 99/2014 instituted under

Sections 304B, 201, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that the informant,

Mohan Ram, has alleged in his written petition that he had

performed marriage of his daughter Sabita Devi with the

petitioner. On 22.5.2014 someone informed him on telephone

that the accused persons have killed his daughter and they are taking away dead body for its burn. The informant reached there and found that the accused persons had been burning his daughter in the canal towards western side of the village. When the informant made protest all the accused persons committed marpit with the informant. The informant has also alleged that accused persons used to assault his daughter prior to this occurrence.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that they have no criminal antecedent. It has further been submitted that petitioner nos. 1 and 2 are father-in-law and mother-in-law, petitioner no.3 is the brother of father-in-law, petitioner no.4 is the son of petitioner no.3, petitioner nos. 5,6 and 7 are co-villagers and petitioner no.,8 is also a co-villager. Further it has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that they are residing separately. They have denied the demand of dowry.

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioners are named in the FIR.

Considering the aforesaid facts, let the above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest or surrender in the court below within a period of four weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand)each with two

sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., West Champaran, Bettiah, in connection with Yogapatti P.S.Case No. 99 of 2014, subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

singh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--