

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4031 of 2015

=====

Seema Kumari wife of Arjun Ram resident of village Gokul Chak Milki Par, Police station Hilsa, District- Nalanda.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Allahabad Bank through its Divisional Head, Divisional Office, Budh Marg, Patna.
2. The Branch Manager, Allahabad Bank, Milki par, Panhar Branch, Police station - Hilsa, District- Nalanda.
3. Binod Kumar Pandit Son of Jagdish Pandit resident of Village Kapasiawan, Police Station Hilsa, District Nalanda.
4. The Bihar School Examination Board through its Secretary.
5. The Secretary, B.S.E.B., Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Resp. Bank : Mr. Binod Kumar Jha, Adv.
For the Resp. No.3 : Mr. Ashok Kr. Chaudhary, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Bimlendu Kr. Jha, Adv.
For the BSEB : Mr. S.S. Mishra, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MIHIR KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

8 30-11-2015 This case has been brought under the heading “To be Mentioned” at the instance of the learned counsel for the petitioner who points out that at page no.13 in the last but one line of paragraph no.11, the word ‘petitioner’ is a typographical error which ought to have been ‘respondent no.3’.

Learned counsel for the petitioner in fact seems to be correct because if the entire paragraph no.11 and in fact the judgment as a whole is read, it would become clear that this Court in fact had intended to recover the amount from salary of the respondent no.3 and, thus, in the last but one line of paragraph no.11 at page-13 in the order dated 9.9.2015, the word

‘petitioner’ shall be read as 'respondent no.3’.

Accordingly, the order of this Court dated 9.9.2015 is amended only to the extent indicated above.

(Mihir Kumar Jha, J)

Rishi/-

U			
---	--	--	--