

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6455 of 2013

- =====
1. Abdul Mannan Son of Khusdil, Resident of Hat Bangrora, P.O. Goal Toli, Police Station - Abadpur, District - Katihar
 2. Manzar Hussain Son of Abdul Mannan, Resident of Hat Bangrora, P.O. Goal Toli, Police Station - Abadpur, District - Katihar
 3. Hemar @ Shaipa Son of Abdul Mannano Resident of Hat Bangrora, P.O. Goal Toli, Police Station - Abadpur, District - Katihar
 4. Haroon Son of Khusdil, Resident of Hat Bangrora, P.O. Goal Toli, Police Station - Abadpur, District - Katihar
 5. Mohsin Son of Khusdil, Resident of Hat Bangrora, P.O. Goal Toli, Police Station - Abadpur, District - Katihar

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Collector, Katihar
3. The D.C.L.R., Barsoi, Katihar
4. The Circle Officer, Barsoi, Katihar
5. Bibi Mahboob Khatoon Wife Of Tasaduk Hussain, Resident Of Hat Bangrora, P.O. Goal Toli, Police Station - Abadpur, District - Katihar
6. Gohar Azam Son Of Tasaduk Hussain, Resident Of Hat Bangrora, P.O. Goal Toli, Police Station - Abadpur, District - Katihar
7. Abdur Rahman Son of Maula Buxar, Resident of Hat Bangrora, P.O. Goal Toli, Police Station - Abadpur, District - Katihar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.

For the Respondent No.1 to 4: Mr. G.P. 7

For the Respondent No.4 & 5 : Mr. Md. Qumrul Hoda

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

8 04-11-2015 Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioners in support of the present writ petition, though the names of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners are printed in the daily cause list.

Learned G.P. 7 appearing on behalf of the respondent no. 1 to 4, on the other hand, submits that against the impugned order dated 02.12.2012 (Annexure-1) passed by the respondent D.C.L.R., Barsoi, the petitioners have an alternative and efficacious remedy before the appellate authority in view of the

provisions contained in Section 14 of The Bihar Land Disputes Resolution, 2009. According to him, on that ground alone the writ petition is liable to be dismissed.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioners, the writ petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--