

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2362 of 2013**

=====

Abhay Kumar Singh, Son Of Late Baijnath Singh, Resident Of Village and  
Post Office - Sundipur P.S. Kandi, District Gadhwa (Jharkhand)

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Collector Rohtash
3. The Additional Collector, Rohtash
4. The Dy. Collector Land Reforms, Dihri-On-Sone, District - Rohtash
5. The Circle Officer, Nauhatta, District Rohtash
6. Ravi Shankar Singh, Son of Late Brahmdeo Singh, Resident of Village Ulli Banahi P.S. Nauhatta District Rohtash
7. Shaligram Singh, Son of Late Samman Singh, Resident of Village Ulli Banahi P.S. Nauhatta District Rohtash
8. Satya Narayan Singh, Son of Late Dharmdev Singh, Resident of Village Ulli Banahi P.S. Nauhatta District Rohtash

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent nos.6&7: Mr. Ashok Kumar

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      22-06-2015      Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioner in support of the present writ petition, though the name of learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner is printed in the daily cause list.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent nos.6 & 7 submits that the present writ petition is completely misconceived and not tenable as no substantive right of the parties has been decided by the impugned letter dated 10.07.2012 (Annexure-6) issued by the respondent D.C.L.R.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the present writ petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

The interim order dated 13.02.2013 passed by a Bench

of this Court stands vacated.

**(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)**

Arvind/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

