

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.38839 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -14 Year- 2014 Thana -RAHIKA District- MADHUBANI

Tajim @ Md. Tajim

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Lalit Narayan Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Meena Singh (App)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR
SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-02-2015

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioner is not named in the first information report and he has been made accused in this case on the ground that in course of investigation, allegedly looted mobile was recovered from his conscious possession but submission on behalf of the petitioner is that the looted mobile was not recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and as a matter of fact, one Sim of Airtel company was seized from possession of the petitioner though in the present case, a Sim, relating to reliance company was looted. It is further contended by him that the learned court below has committed error in the impugned order by mentioning that the looted mobile had been used by the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances

as well as submission of the parties and also taking note of this fact that the petitioner does not have any criminal antecedent, let the petitioner named above, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Rahika P.S. Case No. 14 of 2014 to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Madhubani.

(Hemant Kumar Srivastava, J)

A.K.V./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--