

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.6364 of 2012

=====

Seema Kumari Das, wife of Maya Nand Mandal, resident of village - Chainpur,
Police Station - Terhagachhi, District – Kishanganj.

.... Petitioner.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Mr. Ashutosh, the Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Sundip Kumar Kulkalkatti, the District Magistrate, Kishanganj.
4. Mr. Chandra Shekhar Sharma, the District Programme Officer, Kishanganj.
5. Mr. Prakash Chandra Mishra, the Regional Deputy Director of Education, Purnea, Bihar.
6. Mr. Ramdev Singh, the District Teachers Appointment Appellate Authority, Kishanganj.
7. Mr. Pratap Narain Singh, the Block Development Officer, Terhagachhi, Kishanganj.
8. Mr. Madan Sharma, the Block Education Extension Officer, Terhagachhi, Kishanganj.
9. Mr. Gulab Chandra Singh, the Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Chilhaniya, P.S. Terhagachhi, Kishanganj.
10. Mr. Mayanand Mandal, the Mukhiya Gram Panchyat Raj Chilhaniya, P.S. Terhagachhi, District – Kishanganj.
11. Parnati Das, daughter of Juran Chandra Das, wife of Baxi Indra Mohan Das, resident of village - Rahmatpur, P.S. Bahadurganj, District – Kishanganj.

.... Opposite Parties (Contemnors).

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr.

For the Opposite Parties : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR MISHRA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 20-04-2015

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

2. This application has been filed asserting the non-
compliance of the order dated 04.07.2012 passed in C.W.J.C.
No.11135 of 2012, whereunder the said writ application filed on



behalf of the petitioner was disposed of with direction to the respondents to consider her for appropriate and suitable adjustment in accordance with law within a maximum period of three months from the date of receipt and/or production of a copy of this order. The manner in which the period of break has to be treated shall also be approximately considered and dealt with by the respondents.

3. Learned AC to AAG-VI, appearing on behalf of the State, submits that in compliance of the order dated 04.07.2012 passed in C.W.J.C. No.11135 of 2012, which is under contempt, the representation of the petitioner was considered and rejected by the concerned opposite party(s) on the ground of communication made by the Panchayat Secretary/Mukhiya of the concerned Gram Panchayat vide letter no.02 dated 16.03.2015 (Annexure-‘A’ to the supplementary show cause filed on behalf of the opposite party nos.4 and 8) that out of the 45 seats approved for appointment of Panchayat Teacher under Gram Panchayat Raj Chilhania, Block Teharagawan in the district of Kishanganj in teacher appointment in the year 2006, 47 teachers were appointed by the Ex-Panchayat Secretary and Ex-Mukhiya and that they have got higher than marks to the petitioner and two others.

4. Since in compliance of the order dated 04.07.2012 passed in C.W.J.C. No.11135 of 2012, which is under contempt, the

representation of the petitioner has been disposed of by the concerned opposite party(s) I find no reason to proceed with this application any further. Accordingly, this application stands disposed of. However, if the petitioner has any grievance, she may take recourse in accordance with law before the appropriate forum.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

P.S./-

U			
---	--	--	--