

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3936 of 2012**

=====

Rakesh Ranjan, aged 28 years, son of Late Ramayan Mishra, resident of Village & P.O. Nawadih, P.S. Indrapuri, District – Rohtas .. .... Petitioner  
Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through The Commissioner - Cum - Secretary, Water Resources Department, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna
  2. Sri A. Amanullah, name of father not known to the Petitioner, the Commissioner - Cum - Secretary, Water Resources Department, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna
  3. Sri Sudhir Kumar, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Director, Provident Fund Directorate, Pant Bhawan, Patna
  4. Md. Jamil, name of father not known to the petitioner, the District, Provident Fund Officer, Sasaram, District - Patna
  5. Sri Bishwa Nath Choudhary, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Chief Engineer, Mechanical, Water Resources Department, Old Secretariat Barrack, Patna
  6. Sri Uma Kant Paswan, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Superintending Engineer, Mechanical Circle, Water Resources Department, Gaya Camp - Dehri
  7. Sri D.P. Singh, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Executive Engineer, Irrigation Mechanical Division, Dehri, District - Rohtas
  8. Sri Gautam Guha, name of father not known to the petitioner, the Accountant General, Bihar, Birchand Patel Path, Patna
  9. Sri Anupam Kumar, name of father not known to the petitioner, the District Magistrate, Rohtas (Pension Cell) at Sasaram .... .... Opp.Parties.
- =====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ajay Kumar  
For the Opp.Parties : Mr. AC to GP-8  
Mr. Kumar Priya Ranjan

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

10 13-05-2015

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned

A.C. to Govt. Pleader no.8 and learned counsel for the  
Accountant General, Bihar

The present petition has been filed with a prayer  
to initiate contempt proceeding against Opp.Parties on an  
allegation of wilful disobedience to an order dated



19.04.2011 passed in C.W.J.C. No.6797 of 2011. By the said order, the writ petition was disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to file fresh representation and thereafter, the authority concerned were directed to examine the claim and pass appropriate order in accordance with law. Even in case of refusal, a direction was given to pass speaking order.

In this case, show cause and supplementary show cause have been filed on behalf of Opp.Party. Along with show cause a communication made by Opp.Party no.7 to the petitioner has been brought on record as Annexure-A. Learned State Counsel submits that in compliance with the order of the writ court, the representation of the petitioner was considered and a reasoned order has been passed. It has further been indicated that entire G.P.F. amount has been paid.

Keeping in view the fact that entire G.P.F. amount has been paid and a reasoned order has been passed, the Court is satisfied that the order of the writ court has already been complied with. If the petitioner feels aggrieved with the order of the Opp.Party no.7 (Annexure-A to the show cause), he would be at liberty

to avail appropriate remedy.

With above observation and liberty, the  
petition stands disposed of.

NKS/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

**(Rakesh Kumar, J)**

