

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3856 of 2012

=====

1. Chandrama Rai S/O Madan Rai Resident Of Village- Simaria, P.S- Kateya, District- Gopalganj.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar, Through Sri C.Ashokwardhan, Principal Secretary, Land Reforms Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Shailesh Kumar Das, The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Hathua, (Gopalganj)
3. Sri Pankaj Kumar, Collector, Gopalganj.
4. Sri B.K. Singh, The Then Additional Member Board Of Revenue, Bihar, Patna.
5. Rang Lal Rai S/O Madan Rai Resident Of Village- Simaria, P.S- Kateya, District- Gopalganj.
6. Ramayan Rai S/O Amala Rai Resident Of Village- Simaria, P.S- Kateya, District- Gopalganj.
7. Bishwanath Rai S/O Manager Rai Resident Of Village- Simaria, P.S- Kateya, District- Gopalganj.
8. Rajendra Rai S/O Nepal Rai Resident Of Village- Simaria, P.S- Kateya, District- Gopalganj.
9. Birendra Prasad Shahi S/O Bishwanath Pratap Shahi Resident Of Hathua, P.S- Mirganj, District- Gopalganj.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mahesh Narayan Parbat, Sr. Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Amaresh Kumar Sinha, AC to GA-9.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

8 07-12-2015

Heard counsel for the appellant and the respondents.

In the present case, petitioner is seeking initiation of contempt proceeding against the Opposite Parties for violation of order dated 4th November 2010 passed in CWJC No. 6968 of 1991.

This Court, while disposing of the matter has dismissed the writ application with liberty to the petitioner to take

whatever legal remedy available to the petitioner.

In pursuance of the direction, other side has filed Title Suit No. 227 of 2010 which is pending for adjudication. In view of pendency of suit, application filed by the petitioner for seeking registration of the sale-deed in his favour has not been entertained. The petitioner had not filed writ petition rather other side had filed the writ petition which was dismissed, with certain liberty to the petitioner.

This Court does not find any ground to initiate the contempt proceeding. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed. Petitioner, if so advised, may exhaust the remedy available to him under law.

Jay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

(Shivaji Pandey, J)