

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12523 of 2004

=====

Sunita Devi wife of Sri Surendra Singh, resident of Mohalla Chakwara,
P.S.Hajipur, District Vaishali

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. State Election Commission, Bihar Sone Bhawan, Patna through State Election Commissioner, Bihar, Patna
3. Returning Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Officer, Hajipur Sub Division, P.S.Hajipur, District Vaishali
4. Assistant Returning Officer-cum-Executive Magistrate, Hajipur, P.S.Hajipur, District Vaishali
5. Kumari Manisha wife of Sri Bindeshwari Rai, resident of Mohalla Lodipur, P.S.Hajipur Town, P.O. Hajipur, District Vaishali
6. Reeta Singh wife of Anil Singh
7. Sangeeta Devi wife of Bindeshwar Singh
Both residents of Mohalla Chakwara, P.S.Hajipur town, P.O. Hajipur, District Vaishali
8. Uma Devi wife of Pakir Mahto
9. Jairagi Devi wife of Sheo Sharan Mahto
10. Dulatiya Devi wife of Basudeo Singh
11. Dharamshila Devi wife of Bisheundeo Rai
12. Babita Devi wife of Sanjay Kumar
13. Ramsheela Devi wife of Sadhu Sharan Singh,
All are resident of Moahall Ram Bhadra, P.S.Hajipur Town, P.O. Hajipur, District Vaishali
14. Munni Devi wife of Baijnath Prasad, resident of Mohalla Patwa Toil, Bharat Raut Chauhatta, P.O. Hajipur, P.S.Hajipur Town, District Vaishali
15. Radha Devi wife of Ram Pravesh Rai, resident of Mohalla Lodipur, P.S. Hajipur Town, P.O. Hajipur, District Vaishali

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 : Mr.Krishna Kumar, AC to GP

For the Other Respondent/s : Mr. Pandit Jee Pandey, Advocate
Mr.Nawnit Kumar Tiwary, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 14-07-2015

The present writ petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India assailing the validity and correctness of the order dated 21.09.2004 passed in Election Case No. 49 of 2002 by learned Munsif Ist, Hajipur (Vaishali), whereby

the aforesaid election case filed on behalf of the respondent no.5 was allowed and she was declared elected.

Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioner in support of the present writ petition. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that with the passage of time the present writ petition has become infructious.

Submissions made by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents appear to be correct.

In above view of the matter, the present writ petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Tahir/-

U			
---	--	--	--