

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2207 of 2013

=====

Arun Kumar, S/O Shree Ashok Kumar, R/O Village + Police Station- Dehri-On-Son, District- Rohtas

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Home Secretary, Ministry of Home and Affairs, New Delhi, North Block Parliament Street
2. The Central Reserve Police Force through the Director General of Police C.R.P.F., New Delhi, C.G.O. Complex, Lodhi Road
3. The Director General of Police, Central Reserve Police Force, New Delhi, C.G.O. Complex, Lodhi Road
4. The Staff Selection Commission, Central, Allahabad (U.P.) through its Secretary, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Patel Nagar, Allahabad
5. The Secretary, Staff Selection Commission Central, Allahabad (U.P.), through its Secretary, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Patel Marg, Allahabad
6. Prakash Kumar S/O Ajay Kumar Roll No. 3206525120 as per final merit list, R/O Moh.- Patel Gali, P.S.- Dehri-on-Son, Distt.- Rohtas

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Baidya Nath Thakur, Advocate
: Mr. Shankar Kr. Thakur, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anshuman Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SAMARENDRA PRATAP SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 08-12-2015

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondents.

The petitioner applied for the post of Constable (General Duty) (male) in Central Reserve Police Force in terms of Advertisement published in the Rojgar Career India dated 03.12.2011.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the persons with lesser marks have been selected. To be specific, the petitioner submits that one Prakash Kumar bearing roll no.3206505120, who had secured

60 marks in the same OBC category, was selected, whereas his case has been overseen. The petitioner further submits that the written test was conducted in Kishanganj in the State of Bihar and the Medical examination was also held in the State of Bihar.

Counsel for the respondents submits that there could have been different cut off marks for different regions and unless the details are given, it would be difficult to meet the issues raised by the petitioner.

Having regard to the submissions of the parties, this writ application is permitted to be withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to approach Staff Selection Commission, Allahabad, respondent no.4. In case, the petitioner files a representation, the same would be disposed of by a reasoned order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the copy of representation. It will be open for the petitioner to file an application for condonation of delay on the premise that he was pursuing his remedy before this Court.

(Samarendra Pratap Singh, J.)

Uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--