

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Review No.440 of 2014

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 14555 of 2012

- =====
1. Pradeep Kumar Mandal Son of Jogeshwar Mandal, resident of Village - Dhumgarh, Derhua, P.S. Bardaha, District - Araria.
 2. Sant Lal Mandal Son of Late Ugan Mandal, resident of Village - Dhumgarh, Derhua, P.S. Bardaha, District - Araria.
 3. Tetari Devi Wife of Dayanand Mandal, resident of Village - Derhua, P.S. Bardaha, Anchal - Sikti, District - Araria.
 4. Yugesh Sah @ Jugesh Prasad Sah Son of Shri Prasad Sah, resident of Village - Derhua, P.S. Bardaha, Anchal - Sikti, District - Araria.
 5. Shri Prasad Sah Son of Khuslal Sah, resident of Village - Derhua, P.S. Bardaha, Anchal - Sikti, District - Araria.

..... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue, Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector, Araria.
4. Sub-Divisional Officer, Araria.
5. The Bihar Bhoodan Yagya Committee, Patna.
6. the District Bhoodan Yagya Committee, Araria.
7. Munni Devi Wife of Saffar Ram
8. Anchal Adhikari, Sikti, Araria.
9. Shyam Sundar Devi Wife of Gulabchand Paswan
10. Subhawati Devi Wife of Laxmi Paswan
11. Deo Sunder Paswan Son of Mahafil Paswan
12. Manjula Devi Wife of Jogeshwar Mistry
13. Rama Devi Wife of Budhi Paswan
14. Yashoda Devi Wife of Budhi Satan Paswan
15. Dhaffi Devi
16. Chandi Devi Wife of Jagdish Paswan
17. Suman Devi Wife of Shri Lal Paswan
18. Dirakhi Devi Wife of Dilip Paswan
19. Sandhya Devi Wife of Kartik Paswan Sl. No. 7 and 9 to 18 are residents of Village - Derhua, P.S. Bardaha, Anchal - Sikti, District - Araria.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kamala Kant Tripathi
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md. N. Hoda Khan

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 29-07-2015

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

In the present case, prayer has been made to review the order dated 21st October 2014 as far as it relates to only the last portion, which is as follows:

“However, liberty is given to the Bihar Bhoodan Yagya Committee to file a fresh application in the prescribed form before the Revenue authority after giving due notice to all the parties concerned will pass order in accordance with law.”

Counsel for the petitioners has not pointed out any error appearing on the face of the order.

This Court had only given liberty to the Bihar Bhoodan Yagna Committee to file a fresh application in the prescribed form before the Revenue authority.

This Court does not find that the Court has committed error apparent on the face of record in giving such direction.

This Court does not find any merit in the present case, in such view of the matter, this review application is dismissed.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Mahesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--