

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12440 of 2014

=====

Vidya Bhushan Mishra, Son of Late Lakshman Mishra, Assistant Teacher, Pt. Shree Narain Thakur Smarak Janta Project Girls High School Bahera-Jahidpur Block and Police Station - Nanpur, District Sitamarhi.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through its Principal Secretary, Department of Education, New Secretariat, Vikas Bhawan, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, New Secretariat, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.
3. The Director (Secondary Education), Department of Education, Secondary Education Office, Budh Marg, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Sharma, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ajeet Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 16-01-2015

Merely because the petitioner has tried to point out a few decision taken in Annexures- 17 and 17A, it does not mean that same is the rule and not an abrasion. The Court has gone through Annexure-1, which is the order passed by the Director (Secondary Education), Government of Bihar on a previous direction of the High Court. It does not find any irrationality as such in the reason for rejection of the claim of the present petitioner as well as that of some similarly placed persons. However, it is left open to the petitioner to point out to the Director, who can satisfy himself under what context and for what reason Annexures- 17 and 17A was passed and whether similar kind of benefit can be extended to the present

petitioner.

Writ application stands disposed of with the liberty as above, but no interference is made with Annexure-1 as such.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

P.K./-

U			
---	--	--	--