

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.46325 of 2015

Arising Out of Complaint Case No. -1818 Year- 2011 Thana -VAISALI COMPLAINT CASE
District- VAISHALI(HAJIPUR)

=====

Mukesh Mahto son of Lagan Mahto

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Sangita Devi wife of Mukesh Mahto

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pathak Dhananjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. M.Haque(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 30-09-2015

Heard learned counsels for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner being the husband of the complainant is apprehending arrest in a complaint case wherein process has been directed to be issued after cognizance being taken for the offences punishable under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code and 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

The accusation is of torture for non-fulfillment of the dowry demand.

On instruction, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner admits his marriage with the complainant and he is ready to keep the complainant as wife with full dignity and honour. A statement to that effect has been made in para ... of the petition which reads as follows:-

Considering the present stand of the petitioner and since the complaint was filed in 2011, let the above named petitioner be released on provisional anticipatory bail for six months in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of ₹10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned SDJM, Hazipur, Vaishali in connection with Complaint Case No.1818 of 2011, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Let the learned court below issue notice to the complainant for her appearance. On her appearance, the petitioner will take the complainant to keep her as wife with full dignity and honour.

The provisional bail of the petitioner will be confirmed by learned court below in three eventualities (i) if the matrimonial harmony is substantially restored (ii) if the complainant fails to appear before the learned court below or (iii) if the complainant gets reluctant to reconcile the issue.

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--