

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1873 of 2010

=====

Mahendra Narain Sinha, S/o late Jawala Prasad, R/o Mohalla Gansganj, P.O. Ara,
P.S. Ara Town, District – Bhojpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mr. R.J.M. Pillai, Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna
3. Mr. K.C. Saha, Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Bihar, Patna
4. Mr. Mayank Barbare, Director, Panchayati Raj Department, Bihar, Patna.
5. Mr. Navin Kumar, Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Bihar, Patna.
6. Zila Parishad, Bhojpur at ara.
7. Smt. Manju Devi, Chairman, Zila Parishad, Bhojpur at Ara.
8. Mr. Amar Chatergee, Deputy Development Commissioner-cum-Chief
Executive Officer, Zilar Parishad, Bhojpur at Ara.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Arun Kumar
For the State	:	Mr. Prasoon Sinha, G.A. 2
For the Zila Parishad	:	Mr. Nikesh Kumar Mr. Neresh Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR MISHRA
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 17-03-2015

The present application is filed asserting non-compliance of order dated 30.10.2009 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 14228 of 2009 whereunder the aforesaid writ application of the petitioner was disposed of with direction that in case representation is filed by the petitioner within a period of six weeks from today along with the certified copy of the present order, the respondent no.8 shall consider and dispose of the same by a reasoned order in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of four months on receipt of such representation so that the admissible dues of the petitioner could

be paid with statutory interest without further delay.

Learned counsel for the opposite party no.8, Deputy Development Commissioner-cum-Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Bhojpur at Ara has filed four supplementary show causes with respect of showing of the compliance of the order under contempt and submits that in compliance of the order of the Writ Court, approximately Rs.33 Lacs. has been paid to the petitioner, which would appear from Annexure-H series of the supplementary show cause.

On the other hand, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that interest on gratuity and C.P.F. amount has not been paid up to June, 2014.

In view of the above submission, since the order under contempt has been complied by opposite party no.8, no action is required to be taken. Accordingly, this contempt application is disposed of.

However, if petitioner feels aggrieved, he may take recourse in accordance with law.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J.)

Shail/-

U			
---	--	--	--