

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18660 of 2011

=====

1. Parimal aged about 59 S/O Late S.K. Sahay Chief Executive Officer, M/S
Magadh Advertising Bureau, S.P. Verma Road, Police Station- Gandhi
Maidan, District- Patna Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary Government of Bihar,
Patna
2. The Muzaffarpur Municipal Corporation, Muzaffarpur
3. The Municipal Board, Muzaffarpur Municipal Corporation Muzaffarpur
through its Mayor
4. The Empowered Standing Committee Muzaffarpur Municipal
Corporation, Muzaffarpur
5. Nagar Ayukt, Muzaffarpur Municipal Corporation, Muzaffarpur
6. Astituaa advertisements Pvt. Ltd. through its M.D., 507, Adharshila
Complex South Gandhi Maidan, Patna
..... Respondent/s

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3318 of 2011

=====

1. M/S Astitvaa Advertisement Pvt. Ltd. 507, Adharshila Complex, South
Gandhi Maidan, Patna - 1, through its Managing Director Om Prakash
Tiwary Son of Late Mungeshwar Sahoo Tiwary
2. M/S Concept Advertisement and Light Pvt Ltd. Nand Kishore Lane,
Chunagali, Chandralok Chowk, Muzaffarpur, through its Managing
Director, Chandan Kishore S/O Sri Premchand Kishore
..... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its the Principal Secretary, Urban
Development and Housing Department, Government Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna
3. Muzaffarpur Municipal Corporation, Muzaffarpur through its Executive
Officer
4. Mayor, Muzaffarpur Municipal Corporation, Muzaffarpur
5. The Empowered Standing Committee, Muzaffarpur Municipal
Corporation, Muzaffarpur
6. Municipal Commissioner, Muzaffarpur Municipal Corporation,
Muzaffarpur
7. Additional Municipal Commissioner, Muzaffarpur Municipal
Corporation, Muzaffarpur
8. M/S Magdh Advertisement Bureau, Kanhauli Bishudsatt, Rajput Tola
Bela, Muzaffarpur, At S.P. Verma Road, P.S. - Gandhi Maidan, Dist.- Patna
..... Respondent/s

Appearance :

(In CWJC No.18660 of 2011)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Santosh Kumar Sinha -2, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajiv Roy, GP5

(In CWJC No.3318 of 2011)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raju Giri, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prasoon Sinha, GA2

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

Mr. Santosh Kumar Sinha learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in C.W.J.C.No.18660 of 2011 and Mr. Raju Giri representing the petitioner in C.W.J.C.No.3318 of 2011, learned counsel for the State and the Corporation are present and have been heard.

Whereas according to Mr. Giri the matter has been rendered infructuous by passage of time inasmuch as the petitioner in C.W.J.C.No.3318 of 2011 has not only been awarded the contract but has also been given extension to the same, as per Mr. Sinha the grievance of the petitioner to claim compensation still subsist for which he seeks permission to raise it before the appropriate authority.

In view of the submissions so advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners in these two writ petitions, the writ petitions are disposed of and the petitioner in C.W.J.C.No.18660 of 2011 if so advanced, may raise his claim for compensation before the appropriate authority and which would be considered on its own merit and disposed of in accordance with law.

These writ petitions are disposed of.

Bibhash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

(Jyoti Saran, J)